

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/801,883	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TROXELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John Kim	<b>Art Unit</b> 3733	

**All Participants:**

(1) John Kim.

(2) Eduardo Robert.

**Status of Application: pending**

(3) Garry Tuma.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 22, 30, 32, 33, 34, 45, 51, 52, 53, 61, 63, 64, 65, 85, 93, 95, 96

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**EDUARDO C. ROBERT**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner initiated interview with applicant's representative in regards to the claims discussed above, where the limitations lacked antecedent basis (please read Examiner Amendments dated 6/6/06 for the specific limitations). Agreement was made to the amendments to overcome the lack of antecedent basis..